

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/792,253	03/03/2004	Alex James Duke	. 04-134	3974	
20306	7590 06/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP			GROSSO, HARRY A		
300 S. WACK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	-		3727		

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/792,253	DUKE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry A. Grosso	·3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of th period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 March 2004.		
·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to r	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2004 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the second seco	are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square ole to the drawing(s) be held in abeyancerection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority docu 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ige
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/949) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/14/04.	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/792,253 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Michie (5,142,102).
- 3. Michie discloses a protective case with a main body (16, Figure 1), and a protective cage having a circular annulus (20) and three or more spokes (26) attaching the annulus to the main body. The case is made of a thermoplastic material which would by its nature have some resiliency.
- 4. Claim 5 recites that the annulus and the main body are welded to the spokes.

 Michie discloses the case (10) is molded as a unit (column 2, lines 55-66). The

 examiner deems this to be structurally equivalent construction.
- 5. Claims 1, 2 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Portwood (5,072,846).
- 6. Regarding claims 1 and 2, Portwood discloses a protective case with a main body (10, 20, Figures 1 and 3) and a protective cage having two circular annuli (14 and 15) and spokes (16, 17) attaching the annuli to each other and to the main body.
- 7. Regarding claim 6, Portwood further discloses another protective cage having an annulus (26) and spokes 27 attaching the annulus to the main body.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,253 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michie. Claim 4 recites that the annulus has a length parallel to the main body portion equal to about 10% of the length of the main body. Michie discloses the annulus has a length parallel to the main body but does not teach what that length is. However, applicant has not disclosed that having the length of the annulus at 10% of the length of the main body portion solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose. It appears this is a matter of design choice and the invention would perform equally well with an annulus of greater or lesser length. The case of Michie is inherently capable of being made with the annulus of the desired length and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the annulus of the length deemed desirable.
- 10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Portwood. Portwood discloses the protective case with the protective cages as discussed in paragraphs 6 and 7 above and an annulus (26) has a length parallel to the main body but does not teach what that length is. However, applicant has not disclosed that having the length of the annulus at 10% of the length of the main body portion solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose. It appears this is a matter of design

Application/Control Number: 10/792,253

Art Unit: 3727

to 4 pm.

choice and the invention would perform equally well with an annulus of greater or lesser length. The case of Portwood is inherently capable of being made with the annulus of the desired length and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to have made the annulus of the length desired.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry A. Grosso whose telephone number is 571-272-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7am

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry A. Grosso Examiner

Apt Unit 3727

hag

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 4